

AN OPTIMAL CONTROL MODEL
OF THE LIFE-CYCLE
RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY OF SCIENTISTS

A. M. DIAMOND, JR.

Department of Economics, University of Nebraska at Omaha,
Omaha, Nebraska, 68182-0048 (USA)

(Received May 30, 1986)

A continuous time model using optimal control techniques is presented which implies that a scientist's productivity will eventually decline with age. This implication is at variance with *Cole's* empirical findings¹ but is consistent with *Diamond's* empirical findings.²

In recent work³ a discrete time model due to Becker was specialized to explain the research productivity of scientists. Here mathematically more sophisticated optimal control techniques⁴ are used to more rigorously derive similar implications in a continuous time framework. The model presented here is essentially an adaptation of a simplified version of a model first presented by *Ben-Porath*.⁵

At any age i , the model has the scientist maximizing U , the present value of his disposable income:

$$U = \int_i^n e^{-rt} [W K(t) - W S_t K(t)] dt \quad (1)$$

where K is his stock of prestige capital, W is the rate of return to prestige capital, i is the scientist's current age, n is the scientist's age at retirement, and S_t is the proportion of time in period t that is devoted to the production of prestige capital. S_t is constrained to be in the closed interval $[0,1]$. In order to satisfy the Weierstrass condition for a maximum we assume that the integrand is a concave function of S_t .

Let the production function for prestige capital be:

$$Q_t = \beta (S_t K_t)^\alpha \quad (2)$$

where Q_t is the flow of prestige capital. For some purposes the inclusion of non-time inputs is important, but we ignore them here.

The rate of change of the capital stock is given by:

$$\dot{K}_t = Q_t - \delta K_t \quad (3)$$

where a dot above the K indicates a derivative with respect to time and where δ is the rate by which the stock of prestige capital deteriorates.

The Hamiltonian for this problem is:

$$H = e^{-rt}(1 - S) W K + \lambda(Q - \delta K) \quad (4)$$

where the costate variable λ is the discounted shadow price of investment in prestige capital. The first of the relevant necessary conditions for a maximum is:

$$\dot{\lambda} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial K} = -e^{-rt}(1 - S) W - \lambda \left(\alpha \frac{Q}{K} - \delta \right) \quad (5)$$

In terms of current prices $\mu = \lambda e^{-rt}$. So the condition can be re-written as:

$$\dot{\mu} = -(1 - S) W - \mu \left(\alpha \frac{Q}{K} - \delta - r \right) \quad (6)$$

The second of the relevant necessary conditions for a maximum is:

$$0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} = -e^{-rt} W K + \mu e^{-rt} \alpha \frac{Q}{S} \quad (7)$$

The transversality condition is that:

$$\lambda(n) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (7) we can eventually obtain:

$$Q = (\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\mu \alpha}{W} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (9)$$

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) we obtain the simple differential equation:

$$\dot{\mu} = -W + \mu (\delta + r) \quad (10)$$

After application of the transversality condition, the solution to (10) is:

$$\mu = \frac{W}{\delta+r} [1 - e^{-(\delta+r)(n-i)}] \quad (11)$$

In order to obtain a solution for Q in terms of the parameters of the model, Eq. (11) can be substituted into Eq. (9) in order to obtain:

$$Q = (\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\delta+r} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} [1 - e^{-(\delta+r)(n-i)}]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (12)$$

When a scientist dies $n = i$, which from Eq. (12) implies that at the end of life $Q = 0$. For finite n , Q declines continuously as the scientist ages (i.e., as i increases).

The life-cycle profile for prestige capital can be inferred from Eq. (3). Initially Q_t will be relatively high and K_t will be relatively low, so \dot{K}_t will be positive. As Q_t declines and K_t rises, eventually \dot{K}_t will be negative. The life-cycle profile is thus concave.

A continuous time model, like the earlier discrete time model, implies that scientific productivity eventually declines as a scientist grows older. This implication is at variance with evidence reported by *Cole*¹ but is consistent with evidence reported by *Diamond*.²

*

I have benefitted from conversations with Richard *Cantor* and Donald *Parsons*. Unpublished notes by Jong C. *Rhee* also proved useful.

References

1. S. COLE, Age and scientific performance, *American Journal of Sociology* 84 (1979) 958.
2. A. M. DIAMOND, JR., The life-cycle research productivity of mathematicians and scientists, *The Journal of Gerontology*, 41 (1986) 520.
3. A. M. DIAMOND, JR., An economic model of the life-cycle research productivity of scientists, *Scientometrics*, 6 (1984) 189.
4. R. E. MILLER, *Dynamic Optimization and Economic Applications*, New York, McGraw-Hill Co., 1979.
5. Y. BEN-PORATH, The production of human capital and the life-cycle of earnings, *Journal of Political Economy*, 75 (1967) 352.